

# Algorithms (XVIII)

Yijia Chen  
Shanghai Jiaotong University

## Chapter 10. Quantum algorithms

## Qubits, superpositions, and measurement

## A quote from Richard Feynman

## A quote from Richard Feynman

I think I can safely say that no one understands quantum physics.



In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

But there are many other ways a bit could be stored, for instance, in **the state of a hydrogen atom**.



In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

But there are many other ways a bit could be stored, for instance, in **the state of a hydrogen atom**. The single electron in this atom can

In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

But there are many other ways a bit could be stored, for instance, in **the state of a hydrogen atom**. The single electron in this atom can

- ▶ either be in the **ground state** (the lowest energy configuration),

In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

But there are many other ways a bit could be stored, for instance, in **the state of a hydrogen atom**. The single electron in this atom can

- ▶ either be in the **ground state** (the lowest energy configuration),
- ▶ or it can be in an **excited state** (a high energy configuration).

In ordinary computer chips, bits are physically represented by **low and high voltages** on wires.

But there are many other ways a bit could be stored, for instance, in **the state of a hydrogen atom**. The single electron in this atom can

- ▶ either be in the **ground state** (the lowest energy configuration),
- ▶ or it can be in an **excited state** (a high energy configuration).

We can use these two states to encode for bit values 0 and 1, respectively.

# Notation

# Notation

- Ground state:  $|0\rangle$ ,

# Notation

- Ground state:  $|0\rangle$ ,
- Excited state:  $|1\rangle$ .

# Superpositions



# Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

## Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

For instance,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle;$$

## Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

For instance,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle;$$

or an infinite number of other combination of the form

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle.$$

## Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

For instance,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle;$$

or an infinite number of other combination of the form

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle.$$

The  $\alpha$ 's can be even **complex** numbers, provided

$$|\alpha_0|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1,$$

i.e., they are normalized.

## Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

For instance,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle;$$

or an infinite number of other combination of the form

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle.$$

The  $\alpha$ 's can be even **complex** numbers, provided

$$|\alpha_0|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1,$$

i.e., they are normalized. For example

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}|0\rangle + \frac{2 \cdot i}{\sqrt{5}}|1\rangle.$$

## Superpositions

If a quantum system can be in one of two states, then it can also be in any **linear superposition** of those two states.

For instance,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle;$$

or an infinite number of other combination of the form

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle.$$

The  $\alpha$ 's can be even **complex** numbers, provided

$$|\alpha_0|^2 + |\alpha_1|^2 = 1,$$

i.e., they are normalized. For example

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}|0\rangle + \frac{2 \cdot i}{\sqrt{5}}|1\rangle.$$

Such a superposition is the basic unit of encoded information in quantum computers, called a **qubit**.

The whole concept of a superposition suggests that the electron does not make up its mind about whether it is in the ground or excited state,

The whole concept of a superposition suggests that the electron does not make up its mind about whether it is in the ground or excited state, and the amplitude  $\alpha_0$  is a measure of its **inclination toward the ground state**.



The whole concept of a superposition suggests that the electron does not make up its mind about whether it is in the ground or excited state, and the amplitude  $\alpha_0$  is a measure of its **inclination toward the ground state**.

Continuing along this line of thought, it is tempting to think of  $\alpha_0$  as the **probability that the electron is in the ground state**.

The whole concept of a superposition suggests that the electron does not make up its mind about whether it is in the ground or excited state, and the amplitude  $\alpha_0$  is a measure of its **inclination toward the ground state**.

Continuing along this line of thought, it is tempting to think of  $\alpha_0$  as the **probability that the electron is in the ground state**.

But then how are we to make sense of the fact that  $\alpha_0$  can be negative, or even worse, imaginary?

The whole concept of a superposition suggests that the electron does not make up its mind about whether it is in the ground or excited state, and the amplitude  $\alpha_0$  is a measure of its **inclination toward the ground state**.

Continuing along this line of thought, it is tempting to think of  $\alpha_0$  as the **probability that the electron is in the ground state**.

But then how are we to make sense of the fact that  $\alpha_0$  can be negative, or even worse, imaginary?

**WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS, BUT GET USED TO IT.**

# Measurement

# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron.

# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron. For us to get a glimpse of the electron's state we must make a **measurement** to get a **single bit of information** – 0 or 1.

# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron. For us to get a glimpse of the electron's state we must make a **measurement** to get a **single bit of information** – 0 or 1.

If the state of the electron is  $\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle$ , then the outcome of the measurement is 0 with probability  $|\alpha_0|^2$  and 1 with probability  $|\alpha_1|^2$ .

# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron. For us to get a glimpse of the electron's state we must make a **measurement** to get **a single bit of information – 0 or 1**.

If the state of the electron is  $\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle$ , then the outcome of the measurement is 0 with probability  $|\alpha_0|^2$  and 1 with probability  $|\alpha_1|^2$ .

Moreover, the act of measurement causes the system to change its state:



# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron. For us to get a glimpse of the electron's state we must make a **measurement** to get **a single bit of information – 0 or 1**.

If the state of the electron is  $\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle$ , then the outcome of the measurement is 0 with probability  $|\alpha_0|^2$  and 1 with probability  $|\alpha_1|^2$ .

Moreover, the act of measurement causes the system to change its state: if the outcome of the measurement is 0, then the new state of the system is  $|0\rangle$  (the ground state),

# Measurement

This linear superposition is the private world of the electron. For us to get a glimpse of the electron's state we must make a **measurement** to get **a single bit of information – 0 or 1**.

If the state of the electron is  $\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle$ , then the outcome of the measurement is 0 with probability  $|\alpha_0|^2$  and 1 with probability  $|\alpha_1|^2$ .

Moreover, the act of measurement causes the system to change its state: if the outcome of the measurement is 0, then the new state of the system is  $|0\rangle$  (the ground state), and if the outcome is 1, the new state is  $|1\rangle$  (the excited state).

## *k*-level systems

## $k$ -level systems

The superposition principle holds not just for 2-level systems, but in general for  $k$ -level systems.

## $k$ -level systems

The superposition principle holds not just for 2-level systems, but in general for  $k$ -level systems.

In reality the electron in the hydrogen atom can be in one of many energy levels, starting with the ground state, the first excited state, the second excited state, and so on.

## $k$ -level systems

The superposition principle holds not just for 2-level systems, but in general for  $k$ -level systems.

In reality the electron in the hydrogen atom can be in one of many energy levels, starting with the ground state, the first excited state, the second excited state, and so on.

A  $k$ -level system consists of the ground state and the first  $k - 1$  excited states denoted by

## $k$ -level systems

The superposition principle holds not just for 2-level systems, but in general for  $k$ -level systems.

In reality the electron in the hydrogen atom can be in one of many energy levels, starting with the ground state, the first excited state, the second excited state, and so on.

A  $k$ -level system consists of the ground state and the first  $k - 1$  excited states denoted by

$$|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, \dots, |k - 1\rangle.$$

## *k*-level systems (cont'd)



## $k$ -level systems (cont'd)

The general quantum state of the system is

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}|k-1\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$ .

## $k$ -level systems (cont'd)

The general quantum state of the system is

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}|k-1\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$ .

Measuring the state of the system would now reveal a number between 0 and  $k - 1$ ,

## $k$ -level systems (cont'd)

The general quantum state of the system is

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}|k-1\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$ .

Measuring the state of the system would now reveal a number between 0 and  $k - 1$ , and outcome  $j$  would occur with probability  $|\alpha_j|^2$ .

## $k$ -level systems (cont'd)

The general quantum state of the system is

$$\alpha_0|0\rangle + \alpha_1|1\rangle + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}|k-1\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\alpha_j|^2 = 1$ .

Measuring the state of the system would now reveal a number between 0 and  $k - 1$ , and outcome  $j$  would occur with probability  $|\alpha_j|^2$ .

The measurement would disturb the system, and the new state would actually become  $|j\rangle$  or the  $j$ th excited state.

## Encoding $n$ bits

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms.

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits.

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or **it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits**.

Considering two qubits, that is, the state of the electrons of two hydrogen atoms.



## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits.

Considering two qubits, that is, the state of the electrons of two hydrogen atoms. Since each electron can be in either the ground or excited state, in classical physics the two electrons have a total of four possible states – 00, 01, 10, or 11,

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits.

Considering two qubits, that is, the state of the electrons of two hydrogen atoms. Since each electron can be in either the ground or excited state, in classical physics the two electrons have a total of four possible states – 00, 01, 10, or 11, and are therefore suitable for storing 2 bits of information.

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits.

Considering two qubits, that is, the state of the electrons of two hydrogen atoms. Since each electron can be in either the ground or excited state, in classical physics the two electrons have a total of four possible states – 00, 01, 10, or 11, and are therefore suitable for storing 2 bits of information.

But in quantum physics, the superposition principle tells us that the quantum state of the two electrons is a linear combination of the four classical states,

## Encoding $n$ bits

We could choose  $k = 2^n$  levels of the hydrogen atoms. Or it is more promising to use  $n$  qubits.

Considering two qubits, that is, the state of the electrons of two hydrogen atoms. Since each electron can be in either the ground or excited state, in classical physics the two electrons have a total of four possible states – 00, 01, 10, or 11, and are therefore suitable for storing 2 bits of information.

But in quantum physics, the superposition principle tells us that the quantum state of the two electrons is a linear combination of the four classical states,

$$|\alpha\rangle = \alpha_{00}|00\rangle + \alpha_{01}|01\rangle + \alpha_{10}|10\rangle + \alpha_{11}|11\rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^2} |\alpha_x|^2 = 1$ .

## Measuring 2 qubits

## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information,

## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information, and the probability of outcome  $x \in \{0, 1\}^2$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information, and the probability of outcome  $x \in \{0, 1\}^2$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

If the outcome of measurement is  $jk$ , then the new state of the system is  $|jk\rangle$ .



## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information, and the probability of outcome  $x \in \{0, 1\}^2$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

If the outcome of measurement is  $jk$ , then the new state of the system is  $|jk\rangle$ .

What if we make a partial measurement?

## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information, and the probability of outcome  $x \in \{0, 1\}^2$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

If the outcome of measurement is  $jk$ , then the new state of the system is  $|jk\rangle$ .

What if we make a partial measurement?

If we measure just the first qubit, what is the probability that the outcome is 0?

## Measuring 2 qubits

Measuring the state of the system now reveals 2 bits of information, and the probability of outcome  $x \in \{0, 1\}^2$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

If the outcome of measurement is  $jk$ , then the new state of the system is  $|jk\rangle$ .

What if we make a partial measurement?

If we measure just the first qubit, what is the probability that the outcome is 0?

$$\text{Prob}\{\text{1st bit}=0\} = \text{Prob}\{00\} + \text{Prob}\{01\} = |\alpha_{00}|^2 + |\alpha_{01}|^2.$$

## Partial measurements

## Partial measurements

How much does this partial measurement disturb the state of the system?

## Partial measurements

How much does this partial measurement disturb the state of the system?

If the outcome of measuring the first qubit is 0,

## Partial measurements

How much does this partial measurement disturb the state of the system?

If the outcome of measuring the first qubit is 0, then the new superposition is obtained by **crossing out all terms of  $|\alpha\rangle$  that are inconsistent with this outcome**

## Partial measurements

How much does this partial measurement disturb the state of the system?

If the outcome of measuring the first qubit is 0, then the new superposition is obtained by **crossing out all terms of  $|\alpha\rangle$  that are inconsistent with this outcome** (that is, whose first bit is 1).



## Partial measurements

How much does this partial measurement disturb the state of the system?

If the outcome of measuring the first qubit is 0, then the new superposition is obtained by **crossing out all terms of  $|\alpha\rangle$  that are inconsistent with this outcome** (that is, whose first bit is 1).

The new state would be

$$|\alpha_{\text{new}}\rangle = \frac{\alpha_{00}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{00}|^2 + |\alpha_{01}|^2}}|00\rangle + \frac{\alpha_{01}}{\sqrt{|\alpha_{00}|^2 + |\alpha_{01}|^2}}|01\rangle.$$

$n$  hydrogen atoms

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle.$$

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle.$$

For  $n = 500$ , the number  $2^n$  is much larger than estimates of the number of elementary particles in the universe.

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle.$$

For  $n = 500$ , the number  $2^n$  is much larger than estimates of the number of elementary particles in the universe. Where, then, does Nature store this information?

## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle.$$

For  $n = 500$ , the number  $2^n$  is much larger than estimates of the number of elementary particles in the universe. Where, then, does Nature store this information? How could microscopic quantum systems of a few hundred atoms contain more information than we can possibly store in the entire classical universe?



## $n$ hydrogen atoms

Classically the states of the  $n$  electrons could be used to store  $n$  bits of information in the obvious way.

But the quantum state of the  $n$  qubits is a linear superposition of all  $2^n$  possible classical states:

$$\sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} \alpha_x |x\rangle.$$

For  $n = 500$ , the number  $2^n$  is much larger than estimates of the number of elementary particles in the universe. Where, then, does Nature store this information? How could microscopic quantum systems of a few hundred atoms contain more information than we can possibly store in the entire classical universe?

**WE DON'T UNDERSTAND THIS, BUT GET USED TO IT.**

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation.

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

There is a fundamental problem:

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

There is a fundamental problem: this exponentially large linear superposition is the private world of the electrons.

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

There is a fundamental problem: this exponentially large linear superposition is the private world of the electrons.

Measuring the system only reveals  $n$  bits of information.

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

There is a fundamental problem: this exponentially large linear superposition is the private world of the electrons.

Measuring the system only reveals  $n$  bits of information. The probability that the outcome is a particular  $n$ -bit string  $x$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ .

In this phenomenon lies the basic motivation for quantum computation. Why not tap into this massive amount of effort being expended at the quantum level?

There is a fundamental problem: this exponentially large linear superposition is the private world of the electrons.

Measuring the system only reveals  $n$  bits of information. The probability that the outcome is a particular  $n$ -bit string  $x$  is  $|\alpha_x|^2$ . And the new state after measurement is just  $|x\rangle$ .



## The plan

# The structure of a quantum algorithm

# The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

# The structure of a quantum algorithm

- The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between
- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system

## The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system
- ▶ and the mere  $n$  bits that can be obtained through measurement.

## The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system
- ▶ and the mere  $n$  bits that can be obtained through measurement.

The input to a quantum algorithm consists of  $n$  classical bits,

# The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system
- ▶ and the mere  $n$  bits that can be obtained through measurement.

The input to a quantum algorithm consists of  $n$  classical bits, and the output also consists of  $n$  classical bits.

## The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system
- ▶ and the mere  $n$  bits that can be obtained through measurement.

The input to a quantum algorithm consists of  $n$  classical bits, and the output also consists of  $n$  classical bits.

It is while the quantum system is not being watched that the quantum effects take over



# The structure of a quantum algorithm

The structure of quantum algorithm reflects the **tension** between

- ▶ the exponential “private workspace” of an  $n$ -qubit system
- ▶ and the mere  $n$  bits that can be obtained through measurement.

The input to a quantum algorithm consists of  $n$  classical bits, and the output also consists of  $n$  classical bits.

It is while the quantum system is not being watched that the quantum effects take over and we have the benefit of Nature working exponentially hard on our behalf.

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

If the input is an  $n$ -bit string  $x$ , then the quantum computer takes as input  $n$  qubits in state  $|x\rangle$ .

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

If the input is an  $n$ -bit string  $x$ , then the quantum computer takes as input  $n$  qubits in state  $|x\rangle$ .

Then a series of quantum operations are performed, by the end of which the state of the  $n$  qubits has been transformed to some superposition

$$\sum_y \alpha_y |y\rangle.$$

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

If the input is an  $n$ -bit string  $x$ , then the quantum computer takes as input  $n$  qubits in state  $|x\rangle$ .

Then a series of quantum operations are performed, by the end of which the state of the  $n$  qubits has been transformed to some superposition

$$\sum_y \alpha_y |y\rangle.$$

Finally, a measurement is made, and the output is the  $n$ -bit string  $y$  with probability  $|\alpha_y|^2$ .

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

If the input is an  $n$ -bit string  $x$ , then the quantum computer takes as input  $n$  qubits in state  $|x\rangle$ .

Then a series of quantum operations are performed, by the end of which the state of the  $n$  qubits has been transformed to some superposition

$$\sum_y \alpha_y |y\rangle.$$

Finally, a measurement is made, and the output is the  $n$ -bit string  $y$  with probability  $|\alpha_y|^2$ .

Observe that this output is **random**.

## The structure of a quantum algorithm (cont'd)

If the input is an  $n$ -bit string  $x$ , then the quantum computer takes as input  $n$  qubits in state  $|x\rangle$ .

Then a series of quantum operations are performed, by the end of which the state of the  $n$  qubits has been transformed to some superposition

$$\sum_y \alpha_y |y\rangle.$$

Finally, a measurement is made, and the output is the  $n$ -bit string  $y$  with probability  $|\alpha_y|^2$ .

Observe that this output is **random**. As long as  $y$  corresponds to the right answer with high enough probability, we can repeat the whole process a few times to make the chance of failure miniscule.

# Quantum factoring algorithm



## Quantum factoring algorithm

The algorithm to factor a large integer  $N$  can be viewed as a sequence of reductions:

## Quantum factoring algorithm

The algorithm to factor a large integer  $N$  can be viewed as a sequence of reductions:

- ▶ FACTORING is reduced to finding a nontrivial square root of 1 modulo  $N$ .

## Quantum factoring algorithm

The algorithm to factor a large integer  $N$  can be viewed as a sequence of reductions:

- ▶ FACTORING is reduced to finding a nontrivial square root of 1 modulo  $N$ .
- ▶ Finding such a root is reduced to computing the order of a random integer modulo  $N$ .

## Quantum factoring algorithm

The algorithm to factor a large integer  $N$  can be viewed as a sequence of reductions:

- ▶ FACTORING is reduced to finding a nontrivial square root of 1 modulo  $N$ .
- ▶ Finding such a root is reduced to computing the order of a random integer modulo  $N$ .
- ▶ The order of an integer is precisely the period of a particular periodic superposition.

## Quantum factoring algorithm

The algorithm to factor a large integer  $N$  can be viewed as a sequence of reductions:

- ▶ FACTORING is reduced to finding a nontrivial square root of 1 modulo  $N$ .
- ▶ Finding such a root is reduced to computing the order of a random integer modulo  $N$ .
- ▶ The order of an integer is precisely the period of a particular periodic superposition.
- ▶ Finally, periods of superpositions can be found by the quantum FFT.

## The quantum Fourier transform

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(x_0) \\ A(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ A(x_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & x_0^2 & \dots & x_0^{n-1} \\ 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n-1} & x_{n-1}^2 & \dots & x_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$