

# Expander Graphs and Their Applications (IX)

Yijia Chen  
Shanghai Jiaotong University

## Review of the Previous Lecture

## The Base Graphs

Recall:

1. In the inductive construction of expander graph family using the zig-zag product, we start with a  $(d^4, d, 1/4)$ -graph.
2. In Reingold's algorithm, we start with a  $(d^{16}, d, 1/2)$ -graph.

In the following, we

- ▶ provide some *explicit construction*,
- ▶ and prove their existence by the *probabilistic method*.

# The Affine Plane

Let  $q := p^t$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . And let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the *finite field of size  $q$* .

Then  $AP_q$  is a graph with vertex set  $\mathbb{F}_q^2$  and edge set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{an edge between } (a, b) \text{ and } (c, d) \\ | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } ac = b + d \end{array} \right\}.$$

Equivalently, we connect the vertex  $(a, b)$  to all points on the line

$$\underline{L}_{a,b} := \{(x, y) \mid y = ax - b\}.$$

## Lemma

$AP_q$  is a  $(q^2, q, 1/\sqrt{q})$ -graph.

## The Affine Plane (cont'd)

Let

$$AP_q^1 := AP_q \otimes AP_q$$
$$AP_q^{i+1} := AP_q^i \otimes AP_q.$$

### Theorem

$AP_q^i$  is an  $(q^{2(i+1)}, q^2, i/\sqrt{q})$ -graph.

Choosing some sufficiently large  $q$ , we can get a  $(d^4, d, 1/4)$ -graph or a  $(d^{16}, d, 1/2)$  graph.

# Main Theorem

## Theorem

There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an  $n$ -vertex, 3-regular graphs with  $h(G) \geq c$ .

# Random Perfect Matching

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a graph. A matching  $M$  of  $G$  is a subset of  $E(G)$  (without selfloop) such that *every vertex appears in at most one edge of the subset*.  $M$  is a perfect matching of  $G$  if every vertex is incident to one edge in  $M$ .

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $V := [2k]$ . Consider the following random process  $\mathbb{P}(k)$ :

1.  $S \leftarrow V$  and  $E \leftarrow \emptyset$ .
2. **while**  $S \neq \emptyset$  **do**
3.     Choose a pair  $(u, v) \in S^2$  uniformly at random.
4.      $S \leftarrow S \setminus \{u, v\}$  and  $E \leftarrow E \cup \{\text{an edge between } u \text{ and } v\}$ .
5. **Output**  $E$ .

$\mathbb{P}(k)$  is a random perfect matching on  $[2k]$ .



## Random $d$ -Regular Graph

Let  $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$  and consider the random process  $\mathbb{R}_d(k)$ .

1.  $V \leftarrow [2k]$  and  $E \leftarrow \emptyset$ .
2. **for**  $\ell = 1$  **to**  $d$  **do**
3.      $E \leftarrow E \cup \mathbb{P}(k)$
4. Output  $(V, E)$ .

$\mathbb{R}_d(k)$  is a  $d$ -regular graph on vertices  $[2k]$ .

**An Important Warning:**  $\mathbb{R}_d(k)$  is not uniformly distributed over all  $d$ -regular graphs on vertices  $[2k]$ .

# Main Theorem (Restated)

## Theorem

*There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\Pr [h(\mathbb{R}_3(k)) \geq c] > 0$$

# Introduction to PCP

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*.

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

$V$  will either accept or reject the input  $x$ , depending on  $(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

$V$  will either accept or reject the input  $x$ , depending on  $(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

We require that  $V$  is non-adaptive, i.e., it first reads the input  $x$  and the random bits  $\tau$ , and then decides which positions in the proof  $\pi$  it wants to query.

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

$V$  will either accept or reject the input  $x$ , depending on  $(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

We require that  $V$  is non-adaptive, i.e., it first reads the input  $x$  and the random bits  $\tau$ , and then decides which positions in the proof  $\pi$  it wants to query. That is, the positions  $V$  queries do not depend on the answers that  $V$  got from *previous queries*.



# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

$V$  will either accept or reject the input  $x$ , depending on  $(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

We require that  $V$  is non-adaptive, i.e., it first reads the input  $x$  and the random bits  $\tau$ , and then decides which positions in the proof  $\pi$  it wants to query. That is, the positions  $V$  queries do not depend on the answers that  $V$  got from *previous queries*.

The result of  $V$ 's computation on  $x$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\pi$  is denoted by  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

# Probabilistic Verifier

## Definition

A verifier  $V$  is a *probabilistic polynomial time algorithm* with access to an input  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and a string  $\tau \in \Sigma^*$  of (internal) *random binary bits*. Furthermore,  $V$  has access to a proof  $\pi \in \Sigma^*$ .

$V$  will either accept or reject the input  $x$ , depending on  $(x, \tau, \pi)$ .

We require that  $V$  is non-adaptive, i.e., it first reads the input  $x$  and the random bits  $\tau$ , and then decides which positions in the proof  $\pi$  it wants to query. That is, the positions  $V$  queries do not depend on the answers that  $V$  got from *previous queries*.

The result of  $V$ 's computation on  $x$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\pi$  is denoted by  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$ . As usual 1 means *accepting*, 0 for *rejecting*.

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is polynomial time in  $|x|$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time in  $|x|$** .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time in  $|x|$** .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time in  $|x|$** .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

3. The run of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  that does not involve  $\pi$  might also depend on the random string  $\tau$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time** in  $|x|$ .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

3. The run of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  that does not involve  $\pi$  might also depend on the random string  $\tau$ .
4. We can divide the computation of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  into 3 parts:



## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time in  $|x|$** .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

3. The run of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  that does not involve  $\pi$  might also depend on the random string  $\tau$ .
4. We can divide the computation of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  into 3 parts:
  - (i) Compute from  $x$  and  $\tau$  a sequence of positions  $\bar{p} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_\ell = p_V(x, \tau)$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time** in  $|x|$ .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

3. The run of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  that does not involve  $\pi$  might also depend on the random string  $\tau$ .
4. We can divide the computation of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  into 3 parts:
  - (i) Compute from  $x$  and  $\tau$  a sequence of positions  $\bar{p} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_\ell = p_V(x, \tau)$ .
  - (ii) Read the letters on the positions  $\bar{p}$  of  $\pi$  to form a string

$$\pi \upharpoonright \bar{p} := \pi(p_1)\pi(p_2)\dots\pi(p_\ell),$$

where  $\underline{\pi(p)}$  denotes the letter in the  $p$ -th position of  $\pi$ .

## Probabilistic Verifier (cont'd)

1.  $V$  is **polynomial time** in  $|x|$ .
2. The query positions depend on and only on  $x$  and  $\tau$  (non-adaptive), so we might view the query positions as a function

$$p_V : \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^*,$$

such that  $p_V(x, \tau)$  is computable in time polynomial in  $|x|$ . We may assume  $p_V$  is given *explicitly* with the verifier  $V$ .

3. The run of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  that does not involve  $\pi$  might also depend on the random string  $\tau$ .
4. We can divide the computation of  $V(x, \tau, \pi)$  into 3 parts:
  - (i) Compute from  $x$  and  $\tau$  a sequence of positions  $\bar{p} = p_1 p_2 \dots p_\ell = p_V(x, \tau)$ .
  - (ii) Read the letters on the positions  $\bar{p}$  of  $\pi$  to form a string

$$\pi \upharpoonright \bar{p} := \pi(p_1)\pi(p_2)\dots\pi(p_\ell),$$

where  $\pi(p)$  denotes the letter in the  $p$ -th position of  $\pi$ .

- (iii) Compute an answer from  $x$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $\pi \upharpoonright \bar{p}$ .

## $(r(n), q(n))$ -Restricted Verifier

## $(r(n), q(n))$ -Restricted Verifier

### Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two **monotone** functions.

## $(r(n), q(n))$ -Restricted Verifier

### Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two **monotone** functions.

An  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier is a verifier that for inputs of length  $n$  uses at most  $O(r(n))$  random bits and queries at most  $O(q(n))$  bits from the proof.

## $(r(n), q(n))$ -Restricted Verifier

### Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two **monotone** functions.

An  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier is a verifier that for inputs of length  $n$  uses at most  $O(r(n))$  random bits and queries at most  $O(q(n))$  bits from the proof.

1. If  $V$  is  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted, then for any input  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , Then  $|\tau| = O(r(n))$  and  $|p_V(x, \tau)| = O(q(n))$ .

## $(r(n), q(n))$ -Restricted Verifier

### Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two **monotone** functions.

An  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier is a verifier that for inputs of length  $n$  uses at most  $O(r(n))$  random bits and queries at most  $O(q(n))$  bits from the proof.

1. If  $V$  is  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted, then for any input  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , Then  $|\tau| = O(r(n))$  and  $|p_V(x, \tau)| = O(q(n))$ .
2. There is no restriction on the length of the proof  $\pi$ .



# PCP Classes

# PCP Classes

## Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two monotone functions.

# PCP Classes

## Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two monotone functions.

The class  $\text{PCP}(r(n), q(n))$  consists of all languages  $Q$  where there exists an  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier  $V$  such that for all  $x$

$$x \in L \iff \exists \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] = 1,$$

$$x \notin L \iff \forall \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] < \frac{1}{2}.$$

## Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two monotone functions.

The class  $\text{PCP}(r(n), q(n))$  consists of all languages  $Q$  where there exists an  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier  $V$  such that for all  $x$

$$x \in L \iff \exists \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] = 1,$$

$$x \notin L \iff \forall \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here in  $\Pr_{\tau}[\dots]$  the probability is taken over all random strings  $\tau$  of length  $O(r(n))$ .

## Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two monotone functions.

The class  $\text{PCP}(r(n), q(n))$  consists of all languages  $Q$  where there exists an  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier  $V$  such that for all  $x$

$$x \in L \iff \exists \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] = 1,$$

$$x \notin L \iff \forall \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Here in  $\Pr_{\tau}[\dots]$  the probability is taken over all random strings  $\tau$  of length  $O(r(n))$ .

**Remark.**  $1/2$  in the above definition can be replaced by any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

# PCP Classes

## Definition

Let  $r, q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two monotone functions.

The class  $\text{PCP}(r(n), q(n))$  consists of all languages  $Q$  where there exists an  $(r(n), q(n))$ -restricted verifier  $V$  such that for all  $x$

$$\begin{aligned}x \in L &\iff \exists \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] = 1, \\x \notin L &\iff \forall \pi \Pr_{\tau}[V(x, \tau, \pi) = 1] < \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Here in  $\Pr_{\tau}[\dots]$  the probability is taken over all random strings  $\tau$  of length  $O(r(n))$ .

**Remark.**  $1/2$  in the above definition can be replaced by any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ .

## Definition

Let  $R, Q \subseteq \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be two classes of monotone functions.

$$\underline{\text{PCP}(R, Q)} := \bigcup_{r \in R, q \in Q} \text{PCP}(r(n), q(n)).$$

Let poly denote the class of *all polynomials over*  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{N}[x]$ .

Let poly denote the class of *all polynomials over*  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{N}[x]$ .

Theorem

$$\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP},$$

$$\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}.$$



Proof of  $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $\bar{Q} \in \text{RP}$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $\bar{Q} \in \text{RP}$ . Then there is a polynomial time probabilistic algorithm  $\mathbb{A}$  such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin Q &\iff x \in \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \in Q &\iff x \notin \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is a random string of length *polynomial in  $|x|$* .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $\bar{Q} \in \text{RP}$ . Then there is a polynomial time probabilistic algorithm  $\mathbb{A}$  such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin Q &\iff x \in \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \in Q &\iff x \notin \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is a random string of length *polynomial in  $|x|$* .

By *swapping accepting and rejecting* of  $\mathbb{A}$  we get an algorithm  $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \in Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $\bar{Q} \in \text{RP}$ . Then there is a polynomial time probabilistic algorithm  $\mathbb{A}$  such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin Q &\iff x \in \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \in Q &\iff x \notin \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is a random string of length *polynomial in  $|x|$* .

By *swapping accepting and rejecting* of  $\mathbb{A}$  we get an algorithm  $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ x \in Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] = 1. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $Q \in \text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0)$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) = \text{coRP}$

For every problem  $Q \in \Sigma^*$ , its **complement** is

$$\bar{Q} := \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid x \notin Q\}.$$

Let  $Q \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $\bar{Q} \in \text{RP}$ . Then there is a polynomial time probabilistic algorithm  $\mathbb{A}$  such that for every  $x \in \Sigma^*$

$$\begin{aligned}x \notin Q &\iff x \in \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \\x \in Q &\iff x \notin \bar{Q} &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\mathbb{A}(x, \tau) = 1] = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau$  is a random string of length *polynomial in  $|x|$* .

By *swapping accepting and rejecting* of  $\mathbb{A}$  we get an algorithm  $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}x \notin Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\x \in Q &\iff \Pr_{\tau}[\bar{\mathbb{A}}(x, \tau) = 0] = 1.\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $Q \in \text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0)$ .

$\text{PCP}(\text{poly}, 0) \subseteq \text{coRP}$  can be proved similarly.

⊢

Proof of  $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$



## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .
3.  $\bar{p} = p_1 \dots p_\ell \leftarrow p_V(x)$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .
3.  $\bar{p} = p_1 \dots p_\ell \leftarrow p_V(x)$ .
4. Simulate  $V(x, \pi)$  for an “imaginary”  $\pi$  by replacing each  $\pi_{p_i}$  by  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .



## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .
3.  $\bar{p} = p_1 \dots p_\ell \leftarrow p_V(x)$ .
4. Simulate  $V(x, \pi)$  for an “imaginary”  $\pi$  by replacing each  $\pi_{p_i}$  by  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

If  $x \in Q$ , then we have a  $\pi$  witnessing the membership.

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .
3.  $\bar{p} = p_1 \dots p_\ell \leftarrow p_V(x)$ .
4. Simulate  $V(x, \pi)$  for an “imaginary”  $\pi$  by replacing each  $\pi_{p_i}$  by  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

If  $x \in Q$ , then we have a  $\pi$  witnessing the membership. Thus  $\mathbb{A}$  can guess  $\bar{a} = \pi \upharpoonright p_V(x)$ , and accept  $x$ .

## Proof of $\text{PCP}(0, \text{poly}) = \text{NP}$

$\text{NP} \subseteq \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$  is trivial.

Let  $Q \in \text{PCP}(0, \text{poly})$ . Since no random bit is needed, there is a polynomial time deterministic verifier  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}x \in Q &\iff \exists \pi V(x, \pi) = 1, \\x \notin Q &\iff \forall \pi V(x, \pi) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

with  $|p_V(x)| = q(|x|)$  for some polynomial  $q$ .

Consider the following algorithm  $\mathbb{A}(x)$ .

1.  $\ell \leftarrow q(|x|)$ .
2. *Guess a string*  $\bar{a} = a_1 \dots a_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ .
3.  $\bar{p} = p_1 \dots p_\ell \leftarrow p_V(x)$ .
4. Simulate  $V(x, \pi)$  for an “imaginary”  $\pi$  by replacing each  $\pi_{p_i}$  by  $a_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

If  $x \in Q$ , then we have a  $\pi$  witnessing the membership. Thus  $\mathbb{A}$  can guess  $\bar{a} = \pi \upharpoonright p_V(x)$ , and accept  $x$ .

If  $x \notin L$ , then there is no way for  $\mathbb{A}$  to guess an  $\bar{a}$  to accept  $x$ . ⊥