

## VIII. Recursive Set

Yuxi Fu

BASICS, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

# Decision Problem, Predicate, Number Set

The following emphasizes the importance of number set:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Decision Problem} &\Leftrightarrow \text{Predicate on Number} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Set of Number} \end{aligned}$$

A central theme of recursion theory is to look for sensible classification of number sets.

Classification is often done with the help of reduction.

# Synopsis

1. Reduction
2. Recursive Set
3. Undecidability
4. Rice Theorem

# 1. Reduction

# Reduction between Problems

A reduction is a way of defining a solution to a problem with the help of a solution to another problem.

In recursion theory we are only interested in reductions that are computable.

# Reduction

There are several ways of reducing a problem to another.

The differences between different reductions from  $A$  to  $B$  consists in the manner and the extent to which information about  $B$  is allowed to settle questions about  $A$ .

# Many-One Reduction

The set  $A$  is **many-one reducible**, or **m-reducible**, to the set  $B$  if there is a **total** computable function  $f$  such that

$$x \in A \text{ iff } f(x) \in B$$

for all  $x$ . We shall write  $A \leq_m B$  or more explicitly  $f : A \leq_m B$ .

If  $f$  is injective, then it is a **one-one reducibility**, denoted by  $\leq_1$ .

## An Example

Suppose  $G$  is a finite graph and  $k$  is a natural number.

1. The Independent Set Problem (**IndSet**) asks if there are  $k$  vertices of  $G$  with every pair of which unconnected.
2. The **Clique** Problem asks if there is a  $k$ -complete subgraph of  $G$ .

There is a simple one-one reduction from IndSet to Clique.



# Many-One Reduction

1.  $\leq_m$  is reflexive and transitive.
2.  $A \leq_m B$  iff  $\bar{A} \leq_m \bar{B}$ .
3.  $A \leq_m \omega$  iff  $A = \omega$ ;  $A \leq_m \emptyset$  iff  $A = \emptyset$ .
4.  $\omega \leq_m A$  iff  $A \neq \emptyset$ ;  $\emptyset \leq_m A$  iff  $A \neq \omega$ .

## m-Degree

1.  $A \equiv_m B$  if  $A \leq_m B \leq_m A$ . (many-one equivalence)
2.  $A \equiv_1 B$  if  $A \leq_1 B \leq_1 A$ . (one-one equivalence)
3.  $d_m(A) = \{B \mid A \equiv_m B\}$  is the **m-degree** represented by  $A$ .

# m-Degree

The set of m-degrees is ranged over by  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \dots$

$\mathbf{a} \leq_m \mathbf{b}$  iff  $A \leq_m B$  for some  $A \in \mathbf{a}$  and  $B \in \mathbf{b}$ .

$\mathbf{a} <_m \mathbf{b}$  iff  $\mathbf{a} \leq_m \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{b} \not\leq_m \mathbf{a}$ .

# The Structure of m-Degree

**Proposition.** The m-degrees form a distributive lattice.

# Recursive Permutation

A **recursive permutation** is one-one recursive function.

$A$  is **recursively isomorphic** to  $B$ , written  $A \equiv B$ , if there is a recursive permutation  $p$  such that  $p(A) = B$ .

# Recursive Invariance

A property of sets is **recursively invariant** if it is invariant under all recursive permutations.

- ▶ 'A is infinite' is a recursively invariant property.
- ▶ ' $2 \in A$ ' is not recursively invariant.

# Myhill Isomorphism Theorem

**Myhill Isomorphism Theorem** (1955).  $A \equiv B$  iff  $A \equiv_1 B$ .

**Proof.**

The idea is to construct effectively the graph of an isomorphic function  $h$  by two simultaneous **symmetric** inductions:

$$h_0 \subseteq h_1 \subseteq h_2 \subseteq h_4 \subseteq \dots \subseteq h_i \subseteq \dots$$

such that  $h = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} h_i$ .

At stage  $z + 1 = 2x + 1$ , if  $h_z(x)$  is defined, do nothing.

Otherwise enumerate  $\{f(x), f(h_z^{-1}(f(x))), \dots\}$  until a number  $y$  not in  $\text{rng}(h_z)$  is found. Let  $h_{z+1}(x) = y$ . □

# The Restriction of $m$ -Reduction

Suppose  $G$  is a finite directed weighted graph and  $m$  is a number.

- ▶ The Hamiltonian Circle Problem (HC) asks if there is a circle in  $G$  whose overall weight is no more than  $m$ .
- ▶ The Traveling Sales Person Problem TSP asks for the overall weight of a circle with minimum weight if there are circles.

TSP can be reduced to HC. The reduction is not  $m$ -reduction.



## 2. Recursive Set

## Definition of Recursive Set

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\omega$ . The characteristic function of  $A$  is given by

$$c_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

$A$  is **recursive** if  $c_A(x)$  is computable.

## Fact about Recursive Set

**Fact.** If  $A$  is recursive then  $\overline{A}$  is recursive.

**Fact.** If  $A$  is recursive and  $B \neq \emptyset, \omega$ , then  $A \leq_m B$ .

**Fact.** If  $A, B$  are recursive and  $A, B, \overline{A}, \overline{B}$  are infinite then  $A \equiv B$ .

**Fact.** If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $B$  is recursive, then  $A$  is recursive.

**Fact.** If  $A \leq_m B$  and  $A$  is not recursive, then  $B$  is not recursive.

**Theorem.** An infinite set is recursive iff it is the range of a total increasing computable function.

Proof.

Suppose  $A$  is recursive and infinite. Then  $A$  is range of the increasing function  $f$  given by

$$\begin{aligned}f(0) &= \mu y(y \in A), \\f(n+1) &= \mu y(y \in A \text{ and } y > f(n)).\end{aligned}$$

The function is total, increasing and computable.

Conversely suppose  $A$  is the range of a total increasing computable function  $f$ . Obviously  $y = f(n)$  implies  $n \leq y$ .

Hence  $y \in A \Leftrightarrow y \in \text{Ran}(f) \Leftrightarrow \exists n \leq y(f(n) = y)$ . □

### 3. Undecidability

# Unsolvable Problem

A decision problem  $f : \omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is **solvable** if it is computable.  
It is **unsolvable** if it is not solvable.

# Undecidable Predicate

A predicate  $M(\tilde{x})$  is **decidable** if its characteristic function  $c_M(\tilde{x})$  given by

$$c_M(\tilde{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } M(\tilde{x}) \text{ holds,} \\ 0, & \text{if } M(\tilde{x}) \text{ does not hold.} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

It is **undecidable** if it is not decidable.

Non-recursive  $\Leftrightarrow$  Unsolvable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Undecidable



## Some Important Undecidable Sets

$$K = \{x \mid x \in W_x\},$$

$$K_0 = \{\pi(x, y) \mid x \in W_y\},$$

$$K_1 = \{x \mid W_x \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$Fin = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is finite}\},$$

$$Inf = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is infinite}\},$$

$$Con = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total and constant}\},$$

$$Tot = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is total}\},$$

$$Cof = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is cofinite}\},$$

$$Rec = \{x \mid W_x \text{ is recursive}\},$$

$$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}.$$

**Fact.**  $K$  is undecidable.

**Proof.**

If  $K$  were recursive, the characteristic function

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin W_x, \end{cases}$$

would be computable. Let  $m$  be an index for

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } c(x) = 0, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } c(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $m \in W_m$  iff  $c(m) = 0$  iff  $m \notin W_m$ . □

$K$  is often used to prove undecidability result.

- ▶ To show that  $A$  is undecidable, it suffices to construct an  $m$ -reduction from  $K$  to  $A$ .

**Fact.** There is a computable function  $h$  such that both  $Dom(h)$  and  $Ran(h)$  are undecidable.

Proof.

Define

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $x \in Dom(h)$  iff  $x \in W_x$  iff  $x \in Ran(h)$ . □

**Fact.** Both  $Tot$  and  $\{x \mid \phi_x \simeq \lambda z.0\}$  are undecidable.

**Proof.**

Consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is an injective primitive recursive function  $k(x)$  such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x, y)$ .

It is clear that  $k : K \leq_1 Tot$  and  $k : K \leq_1 \{x \mid \phi_x \simeq \lambda.0\}$ . □

**Fact.** Both  $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$  and  $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$  are undecidable.

**Proof.**

Consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} y, & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is some injective primitive recursive function  $k(x)$  such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x, y)$ .

It is clear that  $k$  is a one-one reduction from  $K$  to both  $\{x \mid c \in W_x\}$  and  $\{x \mid c \in E_x\}$ . □

**Fact.** The predicate ' $\phi_x(y)$  is defined' is undecidable.

**Fact.** The predicate ' $\phi_x \simeq \phi_y$ ' is undecidable.

## 4. Rice Theorem



Henry Rice

Classes of Recursively Enumerable Sets and their Decision Problems. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 77:358-366, 1953.

### Rice Theorem (1953).

If  $\emptyset \subsetneq \mathcal{B} \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is not recursive.

#### Proof.

Suppose  $f_\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $f$  be defined by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} g(y), & \text{if } x \in W_x, \\ \uparrow, & \text{if } x \notin W_x. \end{cases}$$

By S-m-n Theorem there is some injective primitive recursive function  $k(x)$  such that  $\phi_{k(x)}(y) \simeq f(x, y)$ .

It is clear that  $k$  is a one-one reduction from  $K$  to  $\{x \mid \phi_x \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . □

## Applying Rice Theorem

Assume that  $f(x) \simeq \phi_x(x) + 1$  could be extended to a total computable function say  $g(x)$ . Let  $e$  be an index of  $g(x)$ . Then  $\phi_e(e) = g(e) = f(e) = \phi_e(e) + 1$ . Contradiction.

So we may use Rice Theorem to conclude that

$$Ext = \{x \mid \phi_x \text{ is extensible to a total recursive function}\}$$

is not recursive.

**Comment:** Not every partial recursive function can be obtained by restricting a total recursive function.

## Remark on Rice Theorem

Rice Theorem deals with programme independent properties.

It talks about classes of computable functions rather than classes of programmes.

All non-trivial semantic problems are algorithmically undecidable.