

Foundations of Quantum Programming

Lecture 4: Logic for Quantum Programs

Mingsheng Ying

University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Outline

Quantum Predicates

Floyd-Hoare Logic for Quantum Programs

Outline

Quantum Predicates

Floyd-Hoare Logic for Quantum Programs

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

- ▶ $\text{tr}(M\rho)$ may be interpreted as the degree to which quantum state ρ satisfies quantum predicate M .

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

- ▶ $\text{tr}(M\rho)$ may be interpreted as the degree to which quantum state ρ satisfies quantum predicate M .
- ▶ Let M be a Hermitian operator in \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

- ▶ $\text{tr}(M\rho)$ may be interpreted as the degree to which quantum state ρ satisfies quantum predicate M .
- ▶ Let M be a Hermitian operator in \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:
 1. $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is a quantum predicate.

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

- ▶ $\text{tr}(M\rho)$ may be interpreted as the degree to which quantum state ρ satisfies quantum predicate M .
- ▶ Let M be a Hermitian operator in \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:
 1. $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is a quantum predicate.
 2. $0_{\mathcal{H}} \sqsubseteq M \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Quantum Predicates

- ▶ What is a quantum predicate?
- ▶ A quantum predicate should be a physical observable!
- ▶ A *quantum predicate* in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a Hermitian operator M in \mathcal{H} with all its eigenvalues lying within the unit interval $[0, 1]$.
- ▶ The set of predicates in \mathcal{H} is denoted $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$.

Satisfaction of Quantum Predicates

- ▶ $tr(M\rho)$ may be interpreted as the degree to which quantum state ρ satisfies quantum predicate M .
- ▶ Let M be a Hermitian operator in \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:
 1. $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ is a quantum predicate.
 2. $0_{\mathcal{H}} \sqsubseteq M \sqsubseteq I_{\mathcal{H}}$.
 3. $0 \leq tr(M\rho) \leq 1$ for all density operators ρ in \mathcal{H} .

Lemma

For any observables M, N , the following two statements are equivalent:

Lemma

For any observables M, N , the following two statements are equivalent:

1. $M \sqsubseteq N$;

Lemma

The set $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \sqsubseteq)$ of quantum predicates with the Löwner partial order is a complete partial order (CPO).

Lemma

For any observables M, N , the following two statements are equivalent:

1. $M \sqsubseteq N$;
2. for all density operators ρ , $\text{tr}(M\rho) \leq \text{tr}(N\rho)$.

Lemma

The set $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \sqsubseteq)$ of quantum predicates with the Löwner partial order is a complete partial order (CPO).

Quantum Preconditions

- ▶ Let $M, N \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be quantum predicates, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ a quantum operation. Then M is a *precondition* of N with respect to \mathcal{E} , written $\{M\}\mathcal{E}\{N\}$, if

$$\text{tr}(M\rho) \leq \text{tr}(N\mathcal{E}(\rho))$$

for all density operators ρ in \mathcal{H} .

Quantum Preconditions

- ▶ Let $M, N \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be quantum predicates, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ a quantum operation. Then M is a *precondition* of N with respect to \mathcal{E} , written $\{M\}\mathcal{E}\{N\}$, if

$$\text{tr}(M\rho) \leq \text{tr}(N\mathcal{E}(\rho))$$

for all density operators ρ in \mathcal{H} .

- ▶ Intuition: a *probabilistic version* of the statement — if state ρ satisfies predicate M , then the state after transformation \mathcal{E} from ρ satisfies predicate N .

Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quantum predicate, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ a quantum operation. The weakest precondition of M with respect to \mathcal{E} is a quantum predicate $wp(\mathcal{E})(M)$ satisfying:

1. $\{wp(\mathcal{E})(M)\} \mathcal{E} \{M\}$;

Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quantum predicate, $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ a quantum operation. The weakest precondition of M with respect to \mathcal{E} is a quantum predicate $wp(\mathcal{E})(M)$ satisfying:

1. $\{wp(\mathcal{E})(M)\} \mathcal{E} \{M\}$;
2. for all quantum predicates N , $\{N\} \mathcal{E} \{M\}$ implies $N \sqsubseteq wp(\mathcal{E})(M)$, where \sqsubseteq stands for the Löwner order.

Characterisation of Quantum Weakest Preconditions — *Kraus Operators*

Let quantum operation $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ be represented by the set $\{E_i\}$ of operators:

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_i E_i \rho E_i^\dagger$$

Then for each predicate $M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$:

$$wp(\mathcal{E})(M) = \sum_i E_i^\dagger M E_i.$$

Characterisation of Quantum Weakest Preconditions — *System-environment Model*

If quantum operation \mathcal{E} is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \text{tr}_E \left[PU(|e_0\rangle\langle e_0| \otimes \rho)U^\dagger P \right]$$

then:

$$\text{wp}(\mathcal{E})(M) = \langle e_0|U^\dagger P(M \otimes I_E)PU|e_0\rangle$$

Schrödinger-Heisenberg Duality

- ▶ Denotational semantics \mathcal{E} of a quantum program is a forward state transformer:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}), \\ \rho &\mapsto \mathcal{E}(\rho) \text{ for each } \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})\end{aligned}$$

Schrödinger-Heisenberg Duality

- ▶ Denotational semantics \mathcal{E} of a quantum program is a forward state transformer:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}), \\ \rho &\mapsto \mathcal{E}(\rho) \text{ for each } \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Weakest precondition defines a backward quantum predicate transformer:

$$\begin{aligned}wp(\mathcal{E}) : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}), \\ M &\mapsto wp(\mathcal{E})(M) \text{ for each } M \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

Schrödinger-Heisenberg Duality (Continued)

- ▶ Let \mathcal{E} be a quantum operation mapping density operators to themselves, \mathcal{E}^* an operator mapping Hermitian operators to themselves. If for any density operator ρ , Hermitian operator M :

$$\text{(Duality)} \quad \text{tr}[M\mathcal{E}(\rho)] = \text{tr}[\mathcal{E}^*(M)\rho]$$

then \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^* are (Schrödinger-Heisenberg) dual.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho & \models & \mathcal{E}^*(M) \\ \mathcal{E} \downarrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{E}^* \\ \mathcal{E}(\rho) & \models & M \end{array}$$

Schrödinger-Heisenberg Duality (Continued)

- ▶ Let \mathcal{E} be a quantum operation mapping density operators to themselves, \mathcal{E}^* an operator mapping Hermitian operators to themselves. If for any density operator ρ , Hermitian operator M :

$$\text{(Duality)} \quad \text{tr}[M\mathcal{E}(\rho)] = \text{tr}[\mathcal{E}^*(M)\rho]$$

then \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^* are (Schrödinger-Heisenberg) dual.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho & \models & \mathcal{E}^*(M) \\ \mathcal{E} \downarrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{E}^* \\ \mathcal{E}(\rho) & \models & M \end{array}$$

- ▶ Any quantum operation $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$ and its weakest precondition $wp(\mathcal{E})$ are dual to each other.

Basic Properties of Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$.

1. $wp(\lambda\mathcal{E}) = \lambda wp(\mathcal{E})$ provided $\lambda\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;

Basic Properties of Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$.

1. $wp(\lambda\mathcal{E}) = \lambda wp(\mathcal{E})$ provided $\lambda\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;
2. $wp(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}) = wp(\mathcal{E}) + wp(\mathcal{F})$ provided $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;

Basic Properties of Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$.

1. $wp(\lambda\mathcal{E}) = \lambda wp(\mathcal{E})$ provided $\lambda\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;
2. $wp(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}) = wp(\mathcal{E}) + wp(\mathcal{F})$ provided $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;
3. $wp(\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F}) = wp(\mathcal{F}) \circ wp(\mathcal{E})$;

Basic Properties of Quantum Weakest Preconditions

Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ be an increasing sequence in $\mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$.

1. $wp(\lambda\mathcal{E}) = \lambda wp(\mathcal{E})$ provided $\lambda\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;
2. $wp(\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F}) = wp(\mathcal{E}) + wp(\mathcal{F})$ provided $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{QO}(\mathcal{H})$;
3. $wp(\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{F}) = wp(\mathcal{F}) \circ wp(\mathcal{E})$;
4. $wp(\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n) = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} wp(\mathcal{E}_n)$, where $\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} wp(\mathcal{E}_n)$ is defined by

$$\left(\bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} wp(\mathcal{E}_n) \right) (M) \triangleq \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} wp(\mathcal{E}_n)(M)$$

Outline

Quantum Predicates

Floyd-Hoare Logic for Quantum Programs

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;
- ▶ $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ are quantum predicates in \mathcal{H}_{all} .

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;
- ▶ $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ are quantum predicates in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
- ▶ P is called the precondition, Q the postcondition.

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;
- ▶ $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ are quantum predicates in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
- ▶ P is called the precondition, Q the postcondition.

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

- ▶ Two interpretations of Hoare logical formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$:

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;
- ▶ $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ are quantum predicates in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
- ▶ P is called the precondition, Q the postcondition.

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

- ▶ Two interpretations of Hoare logical formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$:
 - ▶ *Partial correctness*: If an input to program S satisfies the precondition P , then either S does not terminate, or it terminates in a state satisfying the postcondition Q .

Correctness Formulas

- ▶ A correctness formula is a statement of the form:

$$\{P\}S\{Q\}$$

where:

- ▶ S is a quantum program;
- ▶ $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ are quantum predicates in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
- ▶ P is called the precondition, Q the postcondition.

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness

- ▶ Two interpretations of Hoare logical formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$:
 - ▶ *Partial correctness*: If an input to program S satisfies the precondition P , then either S does not terminate, or it terminates in a state satisfying the postcondition Q .
 - ▶ *Total correctness*: If an input to program S satisfies the precondition P , then S must terminate and it terminates in a state satisfying the postcondition Q .

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness (Continued)

- ▶ The correctness formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ is true in the sense of *total correctness*, written

$$\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\},$$

if:

$$tr(P\rho) \leq tr(Q\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, where $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is the semantic function of S .

Partial Correctness, Total Correctness (Continued)

- ▶ The correctness formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ is true in the sense of *total correctness*, written

$$\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\},$$

if:

$$tr(P\rho) \leq tr(Q\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, where $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ is the semantic function of S .

- ▶ The correctness formula $\{P\}S\{Q\}$ is true in the sense of *partial correctness*, written

$$\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\},$$

if:

$$tr(P\rho) \leq tr(Q\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)) + [tr(\rho) - tr(\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))]$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$.

Basic Properties of Correctness

1. If $\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}$, then $\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}$.

Basic Properties of Correctness

1. If $\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}$, then $\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}$.
2. For any quantum program S , and for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\models_{tot} \{0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}S\{Q\}, \quad \models_{par} \{P\}S\{I_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}.$$

Basic Properties of Correctness

1. If $\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}$, then $\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}$.
2. For any quantum program S , and for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\models_{tot} \{0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}S\{Q\}, \quad \models_{par} \{P\}S\{I_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}.$$

3. (Linearity) For any $P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2, \lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, if

$$\models_{tot} \{P_i\}S\{Q_i\} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then

$$\models_{tot} \{\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2\}S\{\lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2\}.$$

Basic Properties of Correctness

1. If $\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}$, then $\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}$.
2. For any quantum program S , and for any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\models_{tot} \{0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}S\{Q\}, \quad \models_{par} \{P\}S\{I_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}\}.$$

3. (Linearity) For any $P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ with $\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2, \lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, if

$$\models_{tot} \{P_i\}S\{Q_i\} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then

$$\models_{tot} \{\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2\}S\{\lambda_1 Q_1 + \lambda_2 Q_2\}.$$

- ▶ The same conclusion holds for partial correctness if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1$.

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{tot} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wp.S.P$.

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{tot} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wp.S.P$.
 2. The weakest liberal precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wlp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{tot} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wp.S.P$.
 2. The weakest liberal precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wlp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{par} \{wlp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{tot} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wp.S.P$.
 2. The weakest liberal precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wlp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{par} \{wlp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{par} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wlp.S.P$.

Weakest (Liberal) Preconditions of Quantum Programs

- ▶ Let S be a quantum **while**-program, $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ a quantum predicate in \mathcal{H}_{all} .
 1. The weakest precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{tot} \{wp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{tot} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wp.S.P$.
 2. The weakest liberal precondition of S with respect to P is the quantum predicate $wlp.S.P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfying:
 - ▶ $\models_{par} \{wlp.S.P\}S\{P\}$;
 - ▶ if quantum predicate $Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ satisfies $\models_{par} \{Q\}S\{P\}$ then $Q \sqsubseteq wlp.S.P$.
- ▶ Equivalence of semantic and syntactic definitions:

$$wp.S.P = wp(\llbracket S \rrbracket)(P).$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$
- 2.

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P$.

2.

- ▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

- ▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^+PU.$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^+PU.$

4. $wp.S_1; S_2.P = wp.S_1.(wp.S_2.P).$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

4. $wp.S_1; S_2.P = wp.S_1.(wp.S_2.P).$

5. $wp.\mathbf{if} (\Box m \cdot M[\bar{q}] = m \rightarrow S_m) \mathbf{fi}.P = \sum_m M_m^\dagger (wp.S_m.P) M_m.$

Structural Representation of Weakest Preconditions

1. $wp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

4. $wp.S_1; S_2.P = wp.S_1.(wp.S_2.P).$

5. $wp.\mathbf{if} (\Box m \cdot M[\bar{q}] = m \rightarrow S_m) \mathbf{fi}.P = \sum_m M_m^\dagger (wp.S_m.P) M_m.$

6. $wp.\mathbf{while} M[\bar{q}] = 1 \mathbf{do} S \mathbf{od}.P = \bigsqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n,$ where

$$\begin{cases} P_0 = 0_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}, \\ P_{n+1} = M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger (wp.S.P_n) M_1 \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P$.

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P$.
- 2.

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P$.

2.

- ▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

- ▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wlp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wlp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

4. $wlp.S_1; S_2.P = wlp.S_1.(wlp.S_2.P).$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wlp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

4. $wlp.S_1; S_2.P = wlp.S_1.(wlp.S_2.P).$

5. $wlp.\mathbf{if} (\square m \cdot M[\bar{q}] := m \rightarrow S_m) \mathbf{fi}.P = \sum_m M_m^\dagger (wlp.S_m.P) M_m.$

Structural Representation of Weakest Liberal Preconditions

1. $wlp.\mathbf{skip}.P = P.$

2.

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = |0\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle 1|.$$

▶ If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$wlp.q := |0\rangle.P = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0|P|0\rangle_q \langle n|.$$

3. $wlp.\bar{q} := U[\bar{q}].P = U^\dagger P U.$

4. $wlp.S_1; S_2.P = wlp.S_1.(wlp.S_2.P).$

5. $wlp.\mathbf{if} (\square m \cdot M[\bar{q}] := m \rightarrow S_m) \mathbf{fi}.P = \sum_m M_m^\dagger (wlp.S_m.P) M_m.$

6. $wlp.\mathbf{while} M[\bar{q}] = 1 \mathbf{do} S \mathbf{od}.P = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n,$ where

$$\begin{cases} P_0 = I_{\mathcal{H}_{all}}, \\ P_{n+1} = M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger (wlp.S.P_n) M_1 \text{ for all } n \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Trace-Preserving Property

For any quantum **while**-program S , for any quantum predicate $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, and for any partial density operator $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$tr((wp.S.P)\rho) = tr(P\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)).$$

$$tr((wlp.S.P)\rho) = tr(P\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)) + [tr(\rho) - tr(\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))].$$

Trace-Preserving Property

For any quantum **while**-program S , for any quantum predicate $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$, and for any partial density operator $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$tr((wp.S.P)\rho) = tr(P\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)).$$

$$tr((wlp.S.P)\rho) = tr(P\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho)) + [tr(\rho) - tr(\llbracket S \rrbracket(\rho))].$$

Fixed Point Characterisation

Write **while** for quantum loop “**while** $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**”. Then for any $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

1. $wp.\mathbf{while}.P = M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger (wp.S.(wp.\mathbf{while}.P)) M_1$.
2. $wlp.\mathbf{while}.P = M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger (wlp.S.(wlp.\mathbf{while}.P)) M_1$.

Proof System for Partial Correctness

$$(Ax - Sk) \quad \{P\} \mathbf{Skip} \{P\}$$

(Ax - In) If $type(q) = \mathbf{Boolean}$, then

$$\{|0\rangle_q \langle 0| P |0\rangle_q \langle 0| + |1\rangle_q \langle 0| P |0\rangle_q \langle 1|\} q := |0\rangle \{P\}$$

If $type(q) = \mathbf{integer}$, then

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |n\rangle_q \langle 0| P |0\rangle_q \langle n| \right\} q := |0\rangle \{P\}$$

$$(Ax - UT) \quad \{U^\dagger P U\} \bar{q} := U \bar{q} \{P\}$$

Proof System for Partial Correctness (Continued)

$$(R - SC) \quad \frac{\{P\}S_1\{Q\} \quad \{Q\}S_2\{R\}}{\{P\}S_1;S_2\{R\}}$$

$$(R - IF) \quad \frac{\{P_m\}S_m\{Q\} \text{ for all } m}{\{\sum_m M_m^\dagger P_m M_m\} \text{ if } (\exists m \cdot M[\bar{q}] = m) \rightarrow S_m \text{ fi } \{Q\}}$$

$$(R - LP) \quad \frac{\{Q\}S \{M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger Q M_1\}}{\{M_0^\dagger P M_0 + M_1^\dagger Q M_1\} \text{ while } M[\bar{q}] = 1 \text{ do } S \text{ od } \{P\}}$$

$$(R - Or) \quad \frac{P \sqsubseteq P' \quad \{P'\}S\{Q'\} \quad Q' \sqsubseteq Q}{\{P\}S\{Q\}}$$

Soundness Theorem

For any quantum **while**-program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\vdash_{qPD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$

Soundness Theorem

For any quantum **while**-program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\vdash_{qPD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$

(Relative) Completeness Theorem

For any quantum **while**-program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\models_{par} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{qPD} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$

Bound (Ranking) Functions

- ▶ Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ be a quantum predicate, real number $\epsilon > 0$.

Bound (Ranking) Functions

- ▶ Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ be a quantum predicate, real number $\epsilon > 0$.
- ▶ A function

$$t : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \rightarrow \omega$$

is a (P, ϵ) -bound function of quantum loop

while $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**

if for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

Bound (Ranking) Functions

- ▶ Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ be a quantum predicate, real number $\epsilon > 0$.
- ▶ A function

$$t : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \rightarrow \omega$$

is a (P, ϵ) -bound function of quantum loop

while $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**

if for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

1. $t(\llbracket S \rrbracket (M_1 \rho M_1^\dagger)) \leq t(\rho)$;

Bound (Ranking) Functions

- ▶ Let $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$ be a quantum predicate, real number $\epsilon > 0$.
- ▶ A function

$$t : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all}) \rightarrow \omega$$

is a (P, ϵ) -bound function of quantum loop

while $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**

if for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

1. $t(\llbracket S \rrbracket (M_1 \rho M_1^\dagger)) \leq t(\rho)$;
2. $\text{tr}(P\rho) \geq \epsilon$ implies

$$t(\llbracket S \rrbracket (M_1 \rho M_1^\dagger)) < t(\rho)$$

Characterisation of Bound Functions

The following two statements are equivalent:

1. for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a (P, ϵ) -bound function t_ϵ of the **while**-loop “**while** $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**”;

Characterisation of Bound Functions

The following two statements are equivalent:

1. for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a (P, ϵ) -bound function t_ϵ of the **while**-loop “**while** $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**”;
2. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} tr(P(\llbracket S \rrbracket \circ \mathcal{E}_1)^n(\rho)) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{all}})$.

Proof System for Total Correctness

- $\{Q\}S\{M_0^\dagger PM_0 + M_1^\dagger QM_1\}$
- for any $\epsilon > 0$, t_ϵ is a $(M_1^\dagger QM_1, \epsilon)$ – bound function of loop **while** $M[\bar{q}] = 1$ **do** S **od**

(R – LT)

$$\frac{\{M_0^\dagger PM_0 + M_1^\dagger QM_1\} \mathbf{while} M[\bar{q}] = 1 \mathbf{do} S \mathbf{od} \{P\}}{\{M_0^\dagger PM_0 + M_1^\dagger QM_1\} \mathbf{while} M[\bar{q}] = 1 \mathbf{do} S \mathbf{od} \{P\}}$$

Soundness Theorem

For any quantum program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\vdash_{qTD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$

Soundness Theorem

For any quantum program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\vdash_{qTD} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$

(Relative) Completeness Theorem

For any quantum program S and quantum predicates $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}_{all})$:

$$\models_{tot} \{P\}S\{Q\} \text{ implies } \vdash_{qTD} \{P\}S\{Q\}.$$