

# Design and Analysis of Algorithms V

Sequence Alignment

Guoqiang Li School of Software













The special distinguishing feature of a DAG is that its nodes can be linearized, arranged on a line so that all edges go from left to right.





The special distinguishing feature of a DAG is that its nodes can be linearized, arranged on a line so that all edges go from left to right.

If compute dist values in the left-to-right order, we can always be sure that by the time we get to a node v, all the information we need is prepared to compute dist(v).



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Initialize all dist}(.) \mbox{ value to $\infty$;} \\ \mbox{dist}(s) = 0; \\ \mbox{for each } v \in V \backslash \{s\}, \mbox{ in linearized order do} \\ \mbox{ | } \mbox{dist}(v) = min_{(u,v) \in E} \{ \mbox{dist}(u) + l(u,v) \}; \\ \mbox{end} \end{array}$ 



This algorithm is solving a collection of subproblems,  $\{\mathtt{dist}(u) \mid u \in V\}$ 



The algorithm is solving a collection of subproblems,  $\{dist(u) : u \in V\}$ . Start with the smallest of them, dist(s).



The algorithm is solving a collection of subproblems,  $\{dist(u) : u \in V\}$ . Start with the smallest of them, dist(s).

Proceed with progressively "larger" subproblems, where a larger subproblem is get to if a lot of other subproblems is solved.



The algorithm is solving a collection of subproblems,  $\{dist(u) : u \in V\}$ . Start with the smallest of them, dist(s).

Proceed with progressively "larger" subproblems, where a larger subproblem is get to if a lot of other subproblems is solved.

Dynamic programming is a powerful algorithmic paradigm where a problem is solved by identifying a collection of subproblems and tackling them one by one, until the whole lot of them is solved.



The algorithm is solving a collection of subproblems,  $\{\texttt{dist}(u) : u \in V\}$ . Start with the smallest of them, dist(s).

Proceed with progressively "larger" subproblems, where a larger subproblem is get to if a lot of other subproblems is solved.

Dynamic programming is a powerful algorithmic paradigm where a problem is solved by identifying a collection of subproblems and tackling them one by one, until the whole lot of them is solved.

In dynamic programming we are given a DAG implicitly.

## **Longest Increasing Subsequences**



The input of longest increasing subsequence problem, is a sequence of numbers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ .

A subsequence is any subset of these numbers taken in order, of the form

 $a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \ldots, a_{i_k}$ 

where  $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \le n$ , and an increasing subsequence is one in which the numbers are getting strictly larger.

The task is to find the increasing subsequence of greatest length.



## **Graph Reformulation**



A graph of all permissible transitions:

- A node *i* for each element *a<sub>i</sub>*,
- Directed edges (i, j) whenever it is possible for  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  to be consecutive elements: i < j and  $a_i < a_j$



## **Graph Reformulation**



A graph of all permissible transitions:

- A node *i* for each element *a<sub>i</sub>*,
- Directed edges (i, j) whenever it is possible for  $a_i$  and  $a_j$  to be consecutive elements: i < j and  $a_i < a_j$



- This graph G = (V, E) is a DAG, since all edges (i, j) have i < j
- There is a one-to-one correspondence between increasing subsequences and paths in this DAG.

# The Algorithm



for j = 1 to n do  $| L(j) = 1 + \max\{L(i) \mid (i, j) \in E\};$ end return(max<sub>j</sub> L(j));

# **Sequence Alignment**

# **String Similarity**



Q. How similar are two strings?

# **String Similarity**



Q. How similar are two strings?

Example. ocurrance and occurrence.



## **String Similarity**



Q. How similar are two strings?

#### Example. ocurrance and occurrence.







Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970]

- Gap penalty  $\delta$ ; mismatch penalty  $\alpha_{pq}$ .
- Cost = sum of gap and mismatch penalties.



Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970]

- Gap penalty  $\delta$ ; mismatch penalty  $\alpha_{pq}$ .
- Cost = sum of gap and mismatch penalties.

# C T - G A C C T A C G C T G G A C G A A C G

 $cost = \delta + \alpha_{CG} + \alpha_{TA}$ 



Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970]

- Gap penalty  $\delta$ ; mismatch penalty  $\alpha_{pq}$ .
- Cost = sum of gap and mismatch penalties.

# C T - G A C C T A C G C T G G A C G A A C G

 $cost = \delta + \alpha_{CG} + \alpha_{TA}$ 

Applications. Bioinformatics, spell correction, machine translation, speech recognition, information extraction, ...



Edit distance. [Levenshtein 1966, Needleman-Wunsch 1970]

- Gap penalty  $\delta$ ; mismatch penalty  $\alpha_{pq}$ .
- Cost = sum of gap and mismatch penalties.

# C T - G A C C T A C G C T G G A C G A A C G

#### $cost = \delta + \alpha_{CG} + \alpha_{TA}$

Applications. Bioinformatics, spell correction, machine translation, speech recognition, information extraction, ...

#### Example.

Spokespersonconfirmsseniorgovernmentadviser was foundSpokespersonsaidthesenioradviser was found

# **BLOSUM Matrix for Proteins**



	Α	R	Ν	D	с	Q	Е	G	н		L	К	М	F	Ρ	S	Т	W	Y	۷
Α	7	-3	-3	-3	-1	-2	-2	0	-3	-3	-3	-1	-2	-4	-1	2	0	-5	-4	-1
R	-3	9	-1	-3	-6	1	-1	-4	0	-5	-4	3	-3	-5	-3	-2	-2	-5	-4	-4
N	-3	-1	9	2	-5	0	-1	-1	1	-6	-6	0	-4	-6	-4	1	0	-7	-4	-5
D	-3	-3	2	10	-7	-1	2	-3	-2	-7	-7	-2	-6	-6	-3	-1	-2	-8	-6	-6
с	-1	-6	-5	-7	13	-5	-7	-6	-7	-2	-3	-6	-3	-4	-6	-2	-2	-5	-5	-2
Q	-2	1	0	-1	-5	9	3	-4	1	-5	-4	2	-1	-5	-3	-1	-1	-4	-3	-4
Е	-2	-1	-1	2	-7	3	8	-4	0	-6	-6	1	-4	-6	-2	-1	-2	-6	-5	-4
G	0	-4	-1	-3	-6	-4	-4	9	-4	-7	-7	-3	-5	-6	-5	-1	-3	-6	-6	-6
Н	-3	0	1	-2	-7	1	0	-4	12	-6	-5	-1	-4	-2	-4	-2	-3	-4	3	-5
Т	-3	-5	-6	-7	-2	-5	-6	-7	-6	7	2	-5	2	-1	-5	-4	-2	-5	-3	4
L	-3	-4	-б	-7	-3	-4	-6	-7	-5	2	6	-4	3	0	-5	-4	-3	-4	-2	1
К	-1	3	0	-2	-6	2	1	-3	-1	-5	-4	8	-3	-5	-2	-1	-1	-6	-4	-4
М	-2	-3	-4	-6	-3	-1	-4	-5	-4	2	3	-3	9	0	-4	-3	-1	-3	-3	1
F	-4	-5	-6	-6	-4	-5	-6	-6	-2	-1	0	-5	0	10	-6	-4	-4	0	4	-2
Ρ	-1	-3	-4	-3	-6	-3	-2	-5	-4	-5	-5	-2	-4	-6	12	-2	-3	-7	-6	-4
s	2	-2	1	-1	-2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-4	-4	-1	-3	-4	-2	7	2	-б	-3	-3
Т	0	-2	0	-2	-2	-1	-2	-3	-3	-2	-3	-1	-1	-4	-3	2	8	-5	-3	0
W	-5	-5	-7	-8	-5	-4	-6	-6	-4	-5	-4	-6	-3	0	-7	-6	-5	16	3	-5
Y	-4	-4	-4	-6	-5	-3	-5	-6	3	-3	-2	-4	-3	4	-6	-3	-3	3	11	-3
v	-1	-4	-5	-6	-2	-4	-4	-6	-5	4	1	-4	1	-2	-4	-3	0	-5	-3	7

## Exercise



What is edit distance between these two strings?

### PALETTE PALATE

Assume gap penalty = 2 and mismatch penalty = 1.

**A** 1

**B** 2

**G** 3

**0** 4

**()** 5



Goal. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a min-cost alignment.



Goal. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a min-cost alignment.

Definition. An alignment M is a set of ordered pairs  $x_i - y_j$  such that each character appears in at most one pair and no crossings.



Goal. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a min-cost alignment.

Definition. An alignment M is a set of ordered pairs  $x_i - y_j$  such that each character appears in at most one pair and no crossings.

Definition The cost of an alignment M is:

$$\operatorname{cost}(M) = \underbrace{\sum_{(x_i, y_j) \in M} \alpha_{x_i y_j}}_{\text{mismatch}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i:x_i \text{ unmached}} \delta + \sum_{j:y_j \text{ unmatched}} \delta}_{\operatorname{gap}}$$



Goal. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a min-cost alignment.

Definition. An alignment M is a set of ordered pairs  $x_i - y_j$  such that each character appears in at most one pair and no crossings.

Definition The cost of an alignment M is:

$$\cot(M) = \sum_{\substack{(x_i, y_j) \in M \\ \text{mismatch}}} \alpha_{x_i y_j} + \sum_{\substack{i:x_i \text{ unmached} \\ gap}} \delta + \sum_{j:y_j \text{ unmatched}} \delta$$

$$\frac{x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4 \quad x_5 \quad x_6}{C \quad T \quad A \quad C \quad C \quad - \quad G}$$

$$\frac{C \quad T \quad A \quad C \quad A \quad T \quad G}{y_1 \quad y_2 \quad y_3 \quad y_4 \quad y_5 \quad y_6}$$
an alignment of CTACCG and TACATG  

$$M = \{x_2 - y_1, x_3 - y_2, x_4 - y_3, x_5 - y_4, x_6 - y_6\}$$



Definition OPT(i, j): min cost of aligning prefix strings  $x_1x_2...x_i$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .

Goal. OPT(m, n).



Definition OPT(i, j): min cost of aligning prefix strings  $x_1x_2...x_i$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .

Goal. OPT(m, n).

Case 1. OPT(i, j) matches  $x_i - y_j$ . Pay mismatch for  $x_i - y_j + \min$  cost of aligning  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1y_2 \dots y_{j-1}$ .



Definition OPT(i, j): min cost of aligning prefix strings  $x_1x_2...x_i$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .

Goal. OPT(m, n).

Case 1. OPT(i, j) matches  $x_i - y_j$ . Pay mismatch for  $x_i - y_j + \min$  cost of aligning  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1y_2 \dots y_{j-1}$ .

Case 2a. OPT(i, j) leaves  $x_i$  unmatched. Pay gap for  $x_i$  + min cost of aligning  $x_1x_2...x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .



Definition OPT(i, j): min cost of aligning prefix strings  $x_1x_2...x_i$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .

Goal. OPT(m, n).

Case 1. OPT(i, j) matches  $x_i - y_j$ . Pay mismatch for  $x_i - y_j + \min$  cost of aligning  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1y_2 \dots y_{j-1}$ .

Case 2a. OPT(i, j) leaves  $x_i$  unmatched. Pay gap for  $x_i$  + min cost of aligning  $x_1x_2...x_{i-1}$  and  $y_1y_2...y_j$ .

Case 2b. OPT(i, j) leaves  $y_j$  unmatched. Pay gap for  $y_j$ + min cost of aligning  $x_1x_2...x_i$  and  $y_1y_2...y_{j-1}$ .



Bellman equation.

$$OPT(i,j) = \begin{cases} j\delta & \text{if } i = 0\\ i\delta & \text{if } j = 0\\ \\ \min \begin{cases} \alpha_{x_iy_j} + OPT(i-1,j-1)\\ \delta + OPT(i-1,j) & \text{otherwise}\\ \delta + OPT(i,j-1) \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 臣 ∽ 9 € € 16/39

# Sequence Alignment: Algorithm



```
SEQUENCEALIGNMENT(m, n, x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_n, \delta, \alpha)
for i = 0 to m do
   M[i,0] \leftarrow i\delta;
end
for j = 0 to n do
   M[0,j] \leftarrow j\delta;
end
for i = 1 to m do
    for j = 1 to n do
        M[i,j] \leftarrow \min\{\alpha_{x_iy_j} + M[i-1,j-1], \delta + M[i-1,j], \delta + M[i,j-1]\};
    end
end
RETURN M[m, n];
```

# Sequence Alignment: Traceback



		S	I.	м	Т	L	Α	R	Т	т	Y
	0 🔶	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
I	2	4	14	<b>—</b> 3 <del>《</del>	2	4	6	8	7	9	11
D	4	6	3	3	4	4	6	8	9	9	11
E	6	8	5	5	6	6	6	8	10	11	11
N	8	10	7	7	8	8	8	8	10	12	13
т	10	12	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	9	11
I	12	14	8	10	8	10	12	12	9	11	11
т	14	16	10	10	10	10	12	14	11	8	11
Y	16	18	12	12	12	12	12	14	13	10	7
### **Sequence Alignment: Analysis**



#### Theorem

The DP algorithm computes the edit distance (and an optimal alignment) of two strings of lengths m and n in  $\Theta(mn)$  time and space.

### **Sequence Alignment: Analysis**



#### Theorem

The DP algorithm computes the edit distance (and an optimal alignment) of two strings of lengths m and n in  $\Theta(mn)$  time and space.

Proof.

### Sequence Alignment: Analysis



#### Theorem

The DP algorithm computes the edit distance (and an optimal alignment) of two strings of lengths m and n in  $\Theta(mn)$  time and space.

#### Proof.

- Algorithm computes edit distance.
- Can trace back to extract optimal alignment itself.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ ■ ▶ ▲ ■ → ○ へ ○ 20/39

Sequence Alignment in Linear Space



[Hirschberg] There exists an algorithm to find an optimal alignment in O(mn) time and O(m + n) space.

Sequence Alignment in Linear Space



[Hirschberg] There exists an algorithm to find an optimal alignment in O(mn) time and O(m + n) space.

- Clever combination of divide-and-conquer and dynamic programming.
- Inspired by idea of Savitch from complexity theory.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i, j) = OPT(i, j) for all i and j.





Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i,j) = OPT(i,j) for all i and j.

Proof.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i,j) = OPT(i,j) for all i and j.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i,j) = OPT(i,j) for all i and j.

**Proof.** [by strong induction on i + j]

• Base case: f(0,0) = OPT(0,0) = 0.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i,j) = OPT(i,j) for all i and j.

- Base case: f(0,0) = OPT(0,0) = 0.
- Inductive hypothesis: assume true for all (i', j') with i' + j' < i + j.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i,j) denote length of shortest path from (0,0) to (i,j).
- Lemma: f(i,j) = OPT(i,j) for all i and j.

- Base case: f(0,0) = OPT(0,0) = 0.
- Inductive hypothesis: assume true for all (i', j') with i' + j' < i + j.
- Last edge on shortest path to (i, j) is from (i 1, j 1), (i 1, j), or (i, j 1).



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i, j) = OPT(i, j) for all i and j.

- Base case: f(0,0) = OPT(0,0) = 0.
- Inductive hypothesis: assume true for all (i', j') with i' + j' < i + j.
- Last edge on shortest path to (i, j) is from (i 1, j 1), (i 1, j), or (i, j 1).
- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(i,j) &= \min \left\{ \alpha_{x_i y_j} + f(i-1,j-1), \delta + f(i-1,j), \delta + f(i,j-1) \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ \alpha_{x_i y_j} + OPT(i-1,j-1), \delta + OPT(i-1,j), \delta + OPT(i,j-1) \right\} \\ &= OPT(i,j) \end{aligned}$$



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (0, 0) to (i, j).
- Lemma: f(i, j) = OPT(i, j) for all i and j.



Edit distance graph.

- Let f(i,j) denote length of shortest path from (0,0) to (i,j).
- Lemma: f(i, j) = OPT(i, j) for all i and j.
- Can compute  $f(\cdot, j)$  for any j in O(mn) time and O(m) space.





Edit distance graph.

• Let g(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).





Edit distance graph.

- Let g(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- Can compute g(i, j) by reversing the edge orientations and inverting the roles of (0, 0) and (m, n).





Edit distance graph.

- Let g(i, j) denote length of shortest path from (i, j) to (m, n).
- Can compute  $g(\cdot, j)$  for any j in O(mn) time and O(m) space.





Observation 1. The length of a shortest path that uses (i, j) is f(i, j) + g(i, j).





Observation 2. Let q be an index that minimizes f(q, n/2) + g(q, n/2). Then, there exists a shortest path from (0,0) to (m,n) that uses (q, n/2).





Divide. Find index q that minimizes f(q, n/2) + g(q, n/2); save node *i*-*j* as part of solution.

Conquer. Recursively compute optimal alignment in each piece.



## Hirschberg's Algorithm: Space Analysis



#### Theorem

Hirschberg's algorithm uses  $\Theta(m+n)$  space.

## Hirschberg's Algorithm: Space Analysis



#### Theorem

Hirschberg's algorithm uses  $\Theta(m+n)$  space.

Proof.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 토▶ ▲ 토▶ 토 - 키९ ℃ 31/39

### Hirschberg's Algorithm: Space Analysis



#### Theorem

Hirschberg's algorithm uses  $\Theta(m+n)$  space.

#### Proof.

- Each recursive call uses  $\Theta(m)$  space to compute  $f(\cdot, n/2)$  and  $g(\cdot, n/2)$ .
- Only  $\Theta(1)$  space needs to be maintained per recursive call.
- Number of recursive calls  $\leq n$ .

### Exercise



What is the worst-case running time of Hirschberg's algorithm?

- $\bigcirc O(mn)$
- $\bigcirc O(mn\log m)$
- $\bigcirc O(mn\log n)$
- $\bigcirc O(mn\log m\log n)$

### **Running Time Analysis Warmup**



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then,  $T(m,n) = O(mn \log n)$ .

### Running Time Analysis Warmup



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then,  $T(m,n) = O(mn \log n)$ .

#### Proof.

• T(m, n) is monotone nondecreasing in both m and n.

•

 $\begin{array}{ll} T(m,n) & \leq 2T(m,n/2) + O(mn) \\ & \Rightarrow T(m,n) = O(mn\log n) \end{array}$ 

### Running Time Analysis Warmup



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then,  $T(m,n) = O(mn \log n)$ .

#### Proof.

•

• T(m, n) is monotone nondecreasing in both m and n.

 $\begin{array}{ll} T(m,n) & \leq 2T(m,n/2) + O(mn) \\ & \Rightarrow T(m,n) = O(mn\log n) \end{array}$ 

Remark. Analysis is not tight because two subproblems are of size (q, n/2) and (m - q, n/2). Next, we prove T(m, n) = O(mn).



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then, T(m,n) = O(mn).



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then, T(m,n) = O(mn).

Proof.



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then, T(m,n) = O(mn).



#### Theorem

Let T(m,n) be max running time of Hirschberg's algorithm on strings of lengths at most m and n. Then, T(m,n) = O(mn).

#### **Proof.** [by strong induction on m + n]

- O(mn) time to compute  $f(\cdot, n/2)$  and  $g(\cdot, n/2)$  and find index q.
- T(q, n/2) + T(m q, n/2) time for two recursive calls.
- Choose constant *c* so that:

$$\begin{split} T(m,2) &\leq cm \\ T(2,n) &\leq cn \\ T(m,n) &\leq cmn + T(q,n/2) + T(m-q,n/2) \end{split}$$



Claim

 $T(m,n) \leq 2cmn$ 

▲□▶ < @ ▶ < 注 ▶ < 注 ▶ 注 の Q (\* 35/39)</p>



### Claim

 $T(m,n) \leq 2cmn$ 

- Base cases: m = 2 and n = 2.
- Inductive hypothesis:  $T(m, n) \leq 2cmn$  for all (m', n') with m' + n' < m + n.

 $T(m,n) \leq T(q,n/2) + T(m-q,n/2) + cmn$  $\leq 2cqn/2 + 2c(m-q)n/2 + cmn$ = cqn + cmn - cqn + cmn= 2cmn

### Longest common subsequence



Problem. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a common subsequence that is as long as possible.

#### Longest common subsequence



Problem. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a common subsequence that is as long as possible.

Alternative viewpoint. Delete some characters from x; delete some character from y; a common subsequence if it results in the same string.
### Longest common subsequence



Problem. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a common subsequence that is as long as possible.

Alternative viewpoint. Delete some characters from x; delete some character from y; a common subsequence if it results in the same string.

Example. LCS(GGCACCACG, ACGGCGGATACG) = GGCAACG.

### Longest common subsequence



Problem. Given two strings  $x_1x_2...x_m$  and  $y_1y_2...y_n$ , find a common subsequence that is as long as possible.

Alternative viewpoint. Delete some characters from x; delete some character from y; a common subsequence if it results in the same string.

### Example. LCS(GGCACCACG, ACGGCGGATACG) = GGCAACG.

Applications. Unix diff, git, bioinformatics.

Quiz



How about the longest common string?

# **Referred Materials**

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ • ⑦ ۹. (°) 38/39

## **Referred Materials**



- Content of this lecture comes from Section 6.1 and 6.2 in [DPV07], Section 6.6 and 6.7 in [KT05].
- Suggest to read Section 6.2 in [KT05].