## **E-Unification AC-Unification**

ChuChen

PDF created with pdfFactory Pro trial version www.pdffactory.com

# **E-Unification**

• A fixed set of identities E:

given terms s and t, find a substitution  $\sigma$ such that  $\sigma(s) \approx_{E} \sigma(t)$ . This substitution is called an E-unifier of s and t.

For example: syntactic unification  $E = \emptyset$ .

For example: assume that E implies that the binary function symbol f is commutative.  $f(x,y) \approx_F f(y,x)$ .

# Example

 S={f(x, y) =? f(a, b)} The substitution σ:={x->b ; y->a } is not a syntactic unifier of S However it is a E-Unifier of S ,since f(b, a) ≈<sub>F</sub> f(a, b).

# Definition

• An E-Unification problem over  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of equation S={  $s_1 \approx_E t_1$ ,...., $s_n \approx_E t_n$  } between  $\Sigma$ -terms with variables in V. An E-unifier or E-Solution of S is a substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(s_i) \approx_E \sigma(t_i)$  for i=1,2,....,n. The set of all E-Unifiers of S is denoted by  $\ddot{U}_E$  (S) and S is called E-unifiable if  $\ddot{U}_E$  (S)  $\neq Ø$ .

# **E-Unification**

- Sig(E) denotes the signature of E ,i.e. all the function symbols occurring in E.
- And let  $\Sigma$  be a signature that contains E.
- S is an elementary E-unification problem iff Sig(E)=∑
- S is an E-unification problem with constants iff ∑- Sig(E) consists of constant symbols.
- In a general E-unification problem , ∑- Sig(E) may contain arbitrary function symbols.

# The Order

• Let X be a set of variables. A substitution  $\sigma$  is more general module  $\approx_E$  than a substitution  $\sigma'$ on X if there is a substitution  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma'(x)$  $\approx_E \sigma(\sigma(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ . In this case we write  $\sigma \leq_e^x \sigma'$ . We also say that  $\sigma'$  is an E-instance of  $\sigma$  on X.

# **Complete Set**

- Let S be a E-Unification problem over Σ and let X:=Vars (S) .A complete set of E-Unifiers of S is a set of substitutions ς that satisfies :
- Each  $\sigma \in \varsigma\,$  is an E-unifier of S
- for all  $\theta \in \ddot{U}_{E}(S)$  there exists  $\sigma \in \varsigma$  such that  $\sigma \leq^{x} \theta$ .

## Minimal Complete Set

• A minimal complete set of E-unifiers is a complete set of E-unifiers M such that for all  $\sigma, \sigma' \in M$ ,  $\sigma \leq^{x} \sigma'$  implies that  $\sigma = \sigma'$ Example: C:={ f(x,y)  $\approx$  f(y,x)} S:={f(x,y)  $\approx cf(a,b)$ }  $\sigma_1 = \{x->a, y->b\}$  and  $\sigma_2 = \{x->b, y->a\}$ 

# Mapping Between the Minimal

• Assume that  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are minimal complete sets of E-unifiers of S. Then there exists a bijective mapping  $B : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  such that

 $\sigma_1 \sim^x_E B(\sigma_1)$  holds for all  $\sigma_1 \in M_1$ 

# **Unification Type**

- Unitary: iff a minimal complete set of Eunifiers exists for all E-Unification problems S with cardinality ≤1.
- Finitary: iff a minimal complete set of Eunifiers exists for all E-Unification problems S with finite cardinality .

# **Unification Type**

- Infinitary: iff a minimal complete set of Eunifiers exists for all E-Unification problems S, and there exists an E-Unification problem for which this set is infinitary.
- Zero: iff there exists an E-Unification problem that does not have a minimal complete set of E-unifiers.

• The equational theory induced by the set of identities :

$$\mathsf{AC}:=\{(\mathsf{x}^*\mathsf{y})^*\mathsf{z}\approx\mathsf{x}^*(\mathsf{y}^*\mathsf{z}),\mathsf{x}^*\mathsf{y}\approx\mathsf{y}^*\mathsf{x}\},\$$

which axiomatizes the associativity and commutativity of a single binary function symbol \*.

- It is more convenient to start with unification modulo the theory induced by AC1:=AC ∪ {x\*1≈x}
- $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma \cup \{1\}$  for a constant symbol 1.
- The infinite set of variables V:={ x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>.....x<sub>n</sub>}
- The module symbol  $\approx_{AC}$  ->  $\approx_{AC1}$
- T(Σ<sub>1</sub>,V)

PDF created with pdfFactory Pro trial version <u>www.pdffactory.com</u>

#### Lemma

- The number of occurrences of the variable x in the term t is denoted by |t|<sub>x</sub>.
- Lemma: Let s , t  $\in$  T( $\Sigma_1$  ,V)

$$s \approx_{AC1} t \text{ iff } |s|_x = |t|_x \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

Proof => by induction on the number of rewriting steps to transform s to t.  $<= s \approx_{AC1} X_1^{k1} \dots X_n^{kn}$ ,  $t \approx_{AC1} X_1^{l1} \dots X_n^{ln}$ 

PDF created with pdfFactory Pro trial version www.pdffactory.com

## Vector

- Given a finite set  $X_n := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$   $s \in T(\Sigma_1, X_n)$  is uniquely determined by vector  $V_n(s) = (|s|_{x1}, |s|_{x2}, \dots, |s|_{xn});$
- Lemma:

Let 
$$s,t \in T(\Sigma_1, X_n)$$
.  
(1)  $s \approx_{AC1} t$  iff  $V_n(s) = V_n(t)$  iff  $s \approx t$ .  
(2)  $V n(s) \in N^n - 0$ 

# Equation

• Let n, m  $\ge 0$ , s  $\in T(\Sigma_1, X_n)$   $\sigma$  is a substitution and  $\sigma(x_i) \in T(\Sigma_1, X_m)$ , given  $V_n(s)$  and  $V_m(\sigma(x_i))$  for all  $x_i \in X_n$  we can compute  $V_m(\sigma(s))$ :

$$|\sigma(\mathbf{s})| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mathbf{s}|_{xi} |\sigma(\mathbf{x}_i)|_{xj}$$

PDF created with pdfFactory Pro trial version www.pdffactory.com

#### The Matrix

 M<sub>n,m</sub>(σ) denotes the n\*m matrix whose rows are vectors V<sub>m</sub>(σ(x<sub>i</sub>)).



#### Lemma

• Lemma:

 $V_m(\sigma(s)) = V_n(s) \cdot M_{n,m}(\sigma)$ . Example:

$$s := x_1^2 * x_2$$
  $\sigma := \{x_1 - > x_2 x_3, x_2 - > x_1^2 x_3\}$   
then

$$V_2(s) = (2,1)$$

$$\sigma(s) = (x_2 x_3)^2 x_1^2 x_3 \approx_{AC} x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^3 |$$

#### Lemma

$$M_{2,3}(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$V_3(\sigma(s)) = (2,2,3) = (2,1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

PDF created with pdfFactory Pro trial version www.pdffactory.com

# **AC1-Unification Problem**

- An elementary AC1-unification problem:  $S:=\{s_1 \approx_{AC1} t_1, \dots, s_n \approx_{AC1} t_n\} X_n :=\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} be$ the set of all variables occurring in S, let  $\sigma$  be a substitution and there exists m  $\geq 1$  such that  $\sigma(x_i) \in T(\Sigma_1, X_m)$  for all  $x_i \in X_n$ .
- Lemma:

σ is a AC1-unifier of S  $V_n(s_i).M_{n,m}(σ) = V_n(t_i).M_{n,m}(σ)$  for all i=1.....n

# DE(S)

- Let M<sub>k,n</sub> (S) be the integer matrix whose rows are the vectors V<sub>n</sub>(s<sub>i</sub>)-V<sub>n</sub>(t<sub>i</sub>) i.e. the matrix whose entry at position (i,j) is |s<sub>i</sub>|<sub>xj</sub>-|t<sub>i</sub>|<sub>xj</sub>
   K denotes the cardinality of problem set S.
- σ is an AC1-unifier of S iff the columns of M<sub>n,m</sub> (σ) are (no-negative integer) solutions of the system of homogeneous linear Diophantine equations



# finite generating set

• Let M<sub>k,n</sub> be a k\*n integer matrix ,and let

 $M_{k,n} \, , \, y = 0 \quad (*)$  be the system of homogeneous linear Diophantine equations induced by  $M_{k,n}$ 

• A finite set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  is a generating set for the set of all solutions of (\*) iff every element of V solves (\*) and for each  $v \in N^n$ that solves (\*) there exist aa  $\in N$  such that

 $V = V_1 . a_1 + ... + V_r . a_r$ 

# Finite Generating Set

- A finite generating set W:={v<sub>1</sub>,....,v<sub>n</sub>} for DE(S) There exists one substitution σ for every matrix M<sub>n,r</sub> (W).
- Theorem : The substitution  $\sigma_w$  induced by the finite generating set W of all non-negative integer solutions of DE(S) is a most general AC1-unifier of S.

- Corollary: AC1 is *unitary* for elementary unification.
- Fact:
- Every elementary AC1-unification problem has a solution.

- An (elementary) AC-unification problem S is an AC1-unification problem in which the unit 1 does not occur.
- Any AC-unifier of S is also an AC1-unifier of S.

 Lemma: The elementary AC-unification problem S is solvable iff the system of homogeneous linear Diophantine equations DE(S) has a solution in the positive integers.

• 
$$S:=\{x_1x_2 = ?x_3^2\}$$

•  $\Phi:=\{x_1 - > x_1 x_2^2, x_2 - > x_1, x_3 - > x_1 x_2\}$ 

- Theorem: Solvability of elementary ACunification problem is decidable in polynomial time.
- This problem can easily be turned into a linear programming problem, which is solvable in polynomial time.